

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/735,392 12/12/2003		Hatem Hannawa	66138-0005	8983	
10291	7590 04/11/2006		EXAMINER		
•	SHMAN & GRAUEF	SAFAVI, MICHAEL			
39533 WOO SUITE 140	DWARD AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BLOOMFIE	LD HILLS, MI 48304	3673			

DATE MAILED: 04/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/735,392	ļ	HANNAWA ET AL.			
		Examiner	,	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		M. Safavi	:	3673			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence add	ress		
Period fo	• •			· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INCHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING INSTRUCTION IN THE MAILING IN THE MAY BE AVAILABLE OF THE MA	NG DATE OF THIS CC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe ion. period will apply and will expire s y statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. Ever, may a reply be timel SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	ly filed e mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	August 31, 2005 & De	cember 14, 2005.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1	935 C.D. 11, 453	O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-11 and 24-32 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 28-32 is/are claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1, 3-5, 8-11, and 24-27 is/are reclaim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	are withdrawn from cor		•			
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ obj	ected to by the Ex	caminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	•	=		• •		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the	attached Office A	ction or form PTC	D-152.		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been rece iments have been rece e priority documents ha Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	ived. ived in Applicatior ve been received (a)).	n No I in this National S	stage		
Attachmen		🗖	<u>-</u> -				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/s r No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/15/04 &amp; 12/27/04</u> .	SB/08) 5) 🔲 🛚	Notice of Informal Pate Other:	ent Application (PTO-	152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/735,392

Art Unit: 3673

Applicant's election without traverse of the invention of Group I in the reply filed on August 31, 2005 is acknowledged.

Applicant's election with traverse of the species of Fig. 1/2 in the reply filed on December 14, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species are not classified in different classes and that claim 1 is a generic claim. This is not found persuasive because there would be a serious burden upon the examiner in searching for all species disclosed and address all claims directed to all species as well as in formulating and setting forth a rejection to each species of the invention.

Restriction between species may be made even though there may be no species claim present, M.P.E.P. 809. And, M.P.E.P. 808.01 does not require a separate classification between distinct species of the invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 6 and 28-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species of the invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on December 14, 2005.

Applicant has indicated that claims 1, 3-5, 9-11, 24, 26-28, 30 and 32 read upon the elected species of Fig. 1/2. However, Claim 28 defines "webbings" and "an outer most webbing" including recesses with openings which is not found in the species of Fig. 1/2. Therefore claims 28-32 are being withdrawn from consideration at this time.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed December 27, 2004 fails to fully comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because Applicant has failed to provide a date of publication for the reference listed as CA under Non Patent Literature. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein, with respect to the reference listed as CA under Non Patent Literature, has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-11 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 recites, "a cavity associated with said pattern" when it is not clear as to what is being defined by "associated with said pattern". The term "associated" does not define a particular relationship between the cavity and the pattern.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,392

Art Unit: 3673

Claim 9 recites, "said cavity associated with said pattern may extend more than approximately one-half the total thickness of the form". However, it is not clear as to what Applicant intends with he recitation "may extend...". Does the cavity extend more than approximately one-half the total thickness of the form? Or, is the language of claim 9 merely presented a possible design?

Claim 10 recites, "said cavity may extend more than approximately threequarters of the total thickness of the form". However, it is not clear as to what Applicant intends with he recitation "may extend…". Does the cavity extend more than approximately three-quarters of the total thickness of the form? Or, is the language of claim 10 merely presented a possible design?

Claim 25 recites, "a cavity associated with said pattern" when it is not clear as to what is being defined by "associated with said pattern". The term "associated" does not define a particular relationship between the cavity and the pattern.

Claim 26 recites, "said cavity associated with said pattern may extend more than approximately one-half the total thickness of the form". However, it is not clear as to what Applicant intends with he recitation "may extend…". Does the cavity extend more than approximately one-half the total thickness of the form? Or, is the language of claim 26 merely presented a possible design?

Claim 27 recites, "said cavity may extend more than approximately threequarters of the total thickness of the form". However, it is not clear as to what Applicant intends with he recitation "may extend...". Does the cavity extend more than Application/Control Number: 10/735,392

Art Unit: 3673

approximately three-quarters of the total thickness of the form? Or, is the language of claim 27 merely presented a possible design?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-11, and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese reference 10-292624 (JP '624).

JP '624 discloses, Figs. 3, 4, 9, and 12, a panel formed of fiber reinforced resin having a pattern in the form of recesses 4 with openings 8 therethrough and reinforcing matrix in the form of braces 3, 5, and 6, (claims 1, 3-5, 8, 24, and 25). The recess of each pattern can be seen as extending more than approximately three-quarters of the total thickness of the form, (claims 9, 10, 26, and 27). At least one cavity "intersects one of the braces as can be seen in Figs. 4, 9, and 12, (e.g. lower 6 of Fig. 9 and lower 5 of Fig. 12 as well as lower 5 of Fig. 4 intersect with a cavity), (claim 11).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either of Japanese reference 10-292624 (JP '624) or Sawyer '808 in view of European reference 623,434 (EP '434).

Each of JP '624 and Sawyer '808 do not appear to specifically disclose a form made of a plastic material that includes fiberglass strands. However, EP '434 teaches utilization of a form made of plastic material reinforced with fiberglass strands.

Therefore, to have formed the form of either of JP '624 or Sawyer '808 from a plastic material reinforced with fiberglass strands, thus providing a sturdy form panel, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by EP '434.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese reference '624 (JP '624) in view of Edison '261.

As stated above JP '678 shows at least one cavity which intersects one of the braces as can be seen in Figs. 4, 9, and 12, (e.g. lower 6 of Fig. 9 and lower 5 of Fig. 12 as well as lower 5 of Fig. 4 intersect with a cavity). In any event, Edison discloses a form panel with a decorative pattern cavity intersecting one of the braces to provide

Application/Control Number: 10/735,392 Page 7

**Art Unit: 3673** 

support. Therefore, to have provided the JP '624 form panel with a cavity intersecting one of the braces, thus serving to provide support, would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as taught by Edison '261.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Safavi February 25, 2006 MICHAEL SAFAVI PRIMARY EXAMINES ART UNIT 35.1